

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vargania 22313-1450
www.uspta.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/662,357	09/16/2003	Shunpei Yamazaki	12732-167001	9624	
26171	7590 06/15/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICI 1425 K STREE	HARDSON P.C. ET N W		MICHENER, JE	NNIFER KOLB	
11TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500			1762		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/662,357	YAMAZAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
	Jennifer K. Michener	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m - samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON attle, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9.	<u>′16/2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ 1	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	·	rits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			_			
 Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International But 	-	received in this National Stag	je			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	received				
25.2						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date,				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		formal Patent Application (PTO-152))			

Application/Control Number: 10/662,357

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-8, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 300.

II. Claims 9-19, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 2.24.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as coating a pipe.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

w

Application/Control Number: 10/662,357 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the use of one applicator on the exterior surface of the stent, the use of two applicators on the exterior, the use of one application on the interior, the use of two applicators on the interior, the use of one applicator on the exterior and one on the interior.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 9 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1762

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: targeting the center of the stent strut for coating and coating one side and then the other side.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 9 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/662,357 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. A telephone call was made to Paul Sorkin on 6/9/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer K. Michener whose telephone number is (571)
 272-1424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays. Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on 571-272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Kolb Michener

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

June 10, 2004